2020 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD University of New Orleans

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & University of Louisiana System

Alternate Teacher Preparation Program

BASIC PROGRAM INFORMATION												
Program Website http://www.uno.edu/coehd/index.aspx												
Approval/Accreditation			Status									
		State: Board	Approved									
		State: Board	Approved									
		Regional: So	Accredited									
		(SACSCOC)										
		National: Na	Accredited									
		Education Ac										
		Preparation (
Type of Prog	ram	Master of Arts in Teaching										
CANDIDATE SELECTION PROFILE												
Academic Strength		Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Skills Assessment (2017-18)								100%		
		Median GPA of Candidates Entering the Program (2017-18)								3.3		
		Median GPA	3.9									
Candidates/		Candidates		Enrolled		Completers			Total			
Completer		(2017-18)		80		28		108				
Diversity		Enrolled						Females				
		Gender	21 59					_				
		Enrolled	Hispanic	Indian	Asian		Black	Islander	White	Multi-Racial		
		Race	5	0	4	,	2	0	67	3		
		KNO	NLEDGE A	AND SKILLS FOR	TEACI	HING OF C	OMPLETE	RS				
Knowledge	Content	Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Content Assessments (2017-18) 1009								100%		
	Pedagogical Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Professional Knowledge Assessments (2017-18)							17-18)	100%			
	Overall	Completer Pa	100%									
Clinical Experiences		Teaching Inte	Yes									
		Phase Out Option: Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences Prior to Student Teaching								180		
		Student Teac	525									
Licensure Requirements		Percentage of 2017-18 Completers That Meet State Licensing Requirements								100%		
	Р	ROGRAM PRO	DUCTIVIT	Y AND ALIGNM	ENT T	O STATE N	EEDS OF	COMPLETER	RS			
Entry and Persistence in		Percentage &	61% (n=17)									
Teaching in Public		2013-14	& 2018-19									
Schools in Louisiana		Number of				ımber &			Number &	Number &		
		2013-14 Completers		_		ercentage Percentage eaching in Teaching in		_	Percentage Teaching in	Percentage Teaching in		
(Please examine the 2020 Louisiana Teacher Preparation		Complete		2014-15		015-16	2016	_	2017-18	2018-19		
Data Fact Book to accurately		100%		63%		58% 59%		%	59%	58%		
interpret the meaning of these		(n=78)		(n=49)	(n=45)	(n=4	16)	(n=46)	(n=45)		
scores.)												

Assistance in the design of the Louisiana Teacher Preparation Dashboard was provided by the 2020 Key Effectiveness Indicators developed by Michael Allen, Edward Crowe, and Charles Coble, co-partners of Teacher Preparation Analytics.

2020 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD (CONT'D)

University of New Orleans

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & University of Louisiana System

Master of Arts in Teaching Teacher Preparation Program

PERFORMAN	CE AS CLASSROOM TEACHERS (NEW TE	ACHERS WITH	LESS THAN TWO Y	EARS OF TEACHING	G)			
Impact on	Mean Compass Student Outcome	Compass Student Outcome Mean & Number of Scores						
K-12 Students	Score (2016-17, 2017-18, 2018-19)							
	and Number of Scores for All New	2.9 (n=125)						
(Please examine the 2020	Teachers with Less than Two Years							
Louisiana Teacher Preparation	of Teaching							
Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2016-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Student Outcome Scores						
scores.)	17, 2017-18, & 2018-19 Compass Student Outcome Scores for the	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher	6%	21%	38%	35%			
	Effectiveness Levels	(n=≤10)	(n=26)	(n=48)	(n=44)			
Demonstrated Teaching	Mean Compass Professional	Compass Professional Practice Mean & Number of Scores						
Skill	Practice Score (2016-17, 2017-18,							
	2018-19) and Number of Scores for	3.2 (n=125)						
(Please examine the 2020	All New Teachers with Less than							
Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Two Years of Teaching							
Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2016-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Professional Practice Scores						
scores.)	17, 2017-18, & 2018-19 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
,	Professional Practice Scores for the	1%	6%	58%	34%			
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=73)	(n=43)			
	Effectiveness Levels							
Overall Impact and	Mean Compass Final Evaluation	Compass Final Evaluation Mean & Number of Scores						
Demonstrated Teaching	Score (2016-17, 2017-18, 2018-19)	3.1 (n=125)						
Skill	and Number of Scores for New							
	Teachers with Less than Two Years	- (/						
(Please examine the 2020	of Teaching							
Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Percentage and Number of 2016-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Final Evaluation Scores						
Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	17, 2017-18, & 2018-19 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
scores.)	Final Evaluation Scores for the New	0%	16%	62%	22%			
	Teachers by LDOE Teacher	(n=≤10)	(n=20)	(n=77)	(n=28)			
	Effectiveness Levels							
State Value-Added	Content Areas	Mean, Number of Scores, & Effectiveness Levels for Value-Added Scores of Ten						
Scores for New Teachers		or More New Teachers with Less Than Two Years of Teaching who Taught During 2016-17, 2017-18 and 2018-19						
in Grades 4-8 with Less	Mathematics	N/A (n=≤10)						
than Two Years of	Mathematics	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
Teaching by Content		N/A%	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%			
Areas (Ten or More New		(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)			
Teachers)	Science	N/A (n=N/A)						
(Please examine the 2020	(NO DATA – STATE	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
Louisiana Teacher Preparation	TRANSITIONING TO NEW TEST)	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%			
Data Fact Book to accurately		(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)			
interpret the meaning of these scores.)	Social Studies	-0.3 (n=10)						
scores.)		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
		10%	30%	50%	10%			
		(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)			
	English/Language Arts/Reading	-1.1 (n=16)						
		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
	T .			4.40/	4.00/			
		13%	31%	44%	13%			