2020 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD Northwestern State University

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & University of Louisiana System *Alternate Teacher Preparation Program*

			В	ASIC PROGRAM	INFORMA	TION				
Program We	bsite	http://educa	tion.nsula	a.edu/						
Approval/Ac	creditation			Na	mes of Agenc	ies				Status
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		State: Board	of Eleme	ntary and Secon	dary Educa	tion (BES	SE)			Approved
		State: Board of Regents (BoR)						Approved		
			uthern As	ssociation of Coll	eges and S	chools Co	ommission	on Colle	ges	Accredited
		(SACSCOC)								
			National: National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE); Teacher							Accredited
		Education Accreditation Council (TEAC); or Council for the Accreditation of Educator								
	Preparation (CAEP)									
Type of Prog	ram	Master of Art	s in Teach	hing and Practit	tioner Teac	her Progi	ram			
			C	ANDIDATE SELE	CTION PRO	FILE				
Academic Strength		Completer Pa	issage Rat	te on Praxis Skill	s Assessme	nt (2017	-18)			100%
		Median GPA of Candidates Entering the Program (2017-18)						3.1		
		Median GPA of Candidates Completing the Program (2017-18)						3.7		
Candidates/		Candidates		Enrolled		Co	mpleters		Total	
Completer		(2017-18)		264		61			325	
Diversity		Enrolled	Males Females							
		Gender	49 215							
		Enrolled	Hispanic		Asian	Blac		lander	White	Multi-Racial
		Race	10	4	2	33	3	0	206	3
				AND SKILLS FOR						
Knowledge	Content	Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Content Assessments (2017-18)						100%		
	Pedagogical	Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Professional Knowledge Assessments (2017-18)							100%	
	Overall	Completer Passage Rate on all Assessments (2017-18)							100%	
Clinical Expe	riences	Teaching Internships/Residencies are Offered						Yes		
		Phase Out Option: Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences Prior to Student Teaching						N/A		
		Student Teaching Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences During Student Teaching						N/A		
Licensure Re	quirements	Percentage of 2017-18 Completers That Meet State Licensing Requirements 100%							100%	
	P	ROGRAM PRO	DUCTIVIT	TY AND ALIGNM	ENT TO ST	ATE NEED	OS OF COM	PLETERS	6	
Entry and Persistence in		Percentage & Number of 2017-18 Completers That Began Teaching in 2018-19							87% (n=53)	
Teaching in Public		2013-14 Completers Teaching in Public Schools in Louisiana in 2014-15, 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18,								
_										
Schools in Lo		Number	of	Number &	Numbe		Number &		lumber &	Number &
Schools in Lo	ouisiana		of		Number Percent Teaching	ige	Number & Percentage Teaching in	Pe	lumber & ercentage eaching in	Number & Percentage Teaching in
Schools in Lo	ouisiana e the 2020	Number (2013-14	of	Number & Percentage	Percent	ige ; in	Percentage	Pe Te	ercentage	Percentage
Schools in Lo	ouisiana e the 2020 eer Preparation	Number of 2013-14 Complete 100%	of rs	Number & Percentage Teaching in 2014-15 88%	Percent: Teaching 2015-1 81%	nge ; in 6	Percentage Teaching in 2016-17 74%	Pe Te	ercentage eaching in 2017-18	Percentage Teaching in 2018-19 60%
Schools in Lo (Please examine Louisiana Teach	e the 2020 ter Preparation to accurately	Number of 2013-14 Complete	of rs	Number & Percentage Teaching in 2014-15	Percent Teaching 2015-1	nge ; in 6	Percentage Teaching in 2016-17	Pe Te	ercentage eaching in 2017-18	Percentage Teaching in 2018-19

Assistance in the design of the Louisiana Teacher Preparation Dashboard was provided by the 2020 Key Effectiveness Indicators developed by Michael Allen, Edward Crowe, and Charles Coble, co-partners of Teacher Preparation Analytics.

2020 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD (CONT'D)

Northwestern State University

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & University of Louisiana System

Master of Arts in Teaching Teacher Preparation Program

PERFORMANO	CE AS CLASSROOM TEACHERS (NEW TE	ACHERS WITH	LESS THAN TWO Y	EARS OF TEACHING	G)		
Impact on	Compass Student Outcome Mean & Number of Scores						
K-12 Students (Please examine the 2020 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Score (2016-17, 2017-18, 2018-19) and Number of Scores for All New Teachers with Less than Two Years of Teaching	3.3 (n=226)					
interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2016-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Student Outcome Scores					
scores.)	17, 2017-18, & 2018-19 Compass Student Outcome Scores for the	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective		
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher Effectiveness Levels	2% (n=≤10)	14% (n=31)	28% (n=63)	56% (n=127)		
Demonstrated Teaching			Compass Professional Practice Mean & Number of Scores				
Skill (Please examine the 2020 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Practice Score (2016-17, 2017-18, 2018-19) and Number of Scores for All New Teachers with Less than Two Years of Teaching	3.4 (n=226)					
interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2016-	•	eacher Effectiveness Le				
scores.)	17, 2017-18, & 2018-19 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective		
	Professional Practice Scores for the New Teachers by LDOE Teacher Effectiveness Levels	0% (n=≤10)	4% (n=≤10)	47% (n=106)	50% (n=112)		
Overall Impact and	Mean Compass Final Evaluation	Compass Final Evaluation Mean & Number of Scores					
Demonstrated Teaching Skill (Please examine the 2020	Score (2016-17, 2017-18, 2018-19) and Number of Scores for New Teachers with Less than Two Years of Teaching	3.4 (n=226)					
Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Percentage and Number of 2016- Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Final Evaluation Scores						
Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	17, 2017-18, & 2018-19 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective		
scores.)	Final Evaluation Scores for the New Teachers by LDOE Teacher Effectiveness Levels	0% (n=≤10)	8% (n=17)	43% (n=97)	49% (n=111)		
State Value-Added	Content Areas	Mean, Number of Scores, & Effectiveness Levels for Value-Added Scores of Ten					
Scores for New Teachers in Grades 4-8 with Less		or More New Teachers with Less Than Two Years of Teaching who Taugh 2016-17, 2017-18 and 2018-19					
than Two Years of	Mathematics	-0.9 (n=19)					
Teaching by Content		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective		
Areas (Ten or More New		5% (n=≤10)	58% (n=11)	32% (n=≤10)	5% (n=≤10)		
Teachers)	Science	N/A (n=N/A)					
(0)	(NO DATA – STATE	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective		
(Please examine the 2020 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	TRANSITIONING TO NEW TEST)	N/A% (n=N/A)	N/A% (n=N/A)	N/A% (n=N/A)	N/A% (n=N/A)		
interpret the meaning of these scores.)	Social Studies	-0.9 (n=10)					
		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective		
		10% (n=≤10)	50% (n=≤10)	30% (n=≤10)	10% (n=≤10)		
	English/Language Arts/Reading	(===)		(n=19)	(===)		
		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective		
		11%	42%	42%	5%		
		(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)		

2020 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD (CONT'D)

Northwestern State University

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & University of Louisiana System

Practitioner Teacher Preparation Program

PERFORMAN	CE AS CLASSROOM TEACHERS (NEW TE	ACHERS WITH	LESS THAN TWO Y	EARS OF TEACHING	6)		
Impact on	Compass Student Outcome Mean & Number of Scores						
K-12 Students (Please examine the 2020 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Score (2016-17, 2017-18, 2018-19) and Number of Scores for All New Teachers with Less than Two Years of Teaching	2.9 (n=99)					
interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2016- 17, 2017-18, & 2018-19 Compass Student Outcome Scores for the	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Student Outcome Scores					
scores.)		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective		
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher Effectiveness Levels	5% (n=≤10)	26% (n=26)	31% (n=31)	37% (n=37)		
Demonstrated Teaching	Mean Compass Professional	Com	pass Professional Pract	ice Mean & Number of	Scores		
Skill (Please examine the 2020 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Practice Score (2016-17, 2017-18, 2018-19) and Number of Scores for All New Teachers with Less than Two Years of Teaching	3.2 (n=99)					
interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2016-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Professional Practice Scores					
scores.)	17, 2017-18, & 2018-19 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective		
	Professional Practice Scores for the	1%	7%	58%	34%		
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher Effectiveness Levels	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=57)	(n=34)		
Overall Impact and	Mean Compass Final Evaluation	Compass Final Evaluation Mean & Number of Scores					
Demonstrated Teaching Skill (Please examine the 2020	Score (2016-17, 2017-18, 2018-19) and Number of Scores for New Teachers with Less than Two Years of Teaching	3.1 (n=99)					
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Percentage and Number of 2016-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Final Evaluation Scores					
interpret the meaning of these	17, 2017-18, & 2018-19 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective		
scores.)	Final Evaluation Scores for the New Teachers by LDOE Teacher Effectiveness Levels	1% (n=≤10)	16% (n=16)	58% (n=57)	25% (n=25)		
State Value-Added	Content Areas	Mean, Number of Scores, & Effectiveness Levels for Value-Added Scores of Ten					
Scores for New Teachers in Grades 4-8 with Less		or More New Te		ess Than Two Years of Teaching who Taught During 5-17, 2017-18 and 2018-19			
than Two Years of	Mathematics		, , ,	(n=16)			
Teaching by Content		Ineffective 13%	Effective Emerging 50%	Effective Proficient 19%	Highly Effective 19%		
Areas (Ten or More New		(n=≤10)	(n=11)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)		
Teachers)	Science	N/A (n=N/A)					
(Please examine the 2020	(NO DATA – STATE	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective		
Louisiana Teacher Preparation	TRANSITIONING TO NEW TEST)	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%		
Data Fact Book to accurately	0 110 11	(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)		
interpret the meaning of these scores.)	Social Studies	N/A (n=≤10)					
		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective		
		N/A% (n=N/A)	N/A% (n=N/A)	N/A% (n=N/A)	N/A% (n=N/A)		
	English/Language Arts/Reading	-2.4 (n=11)					
		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective		
İ.		9%	73%	18%	0%		
		9% (n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	10/0	U70		