2020 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD

McNeese State University

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & University of Louisiana System *Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Program*

			B	ASIC PROGRAM	INFORM	ATION					
Program Website http://www.mcneese.edu/education											
Approval/Ac	creditation	Names of Agencies								Status	
		State: Board	Approved								
		State: Board	Approved								
		Regional: So	Accredited								
		(SACSCOC)									
		National: Na	Accredited								
						C); or Council for the Accreditation of Educator					
	Preparation (CAEP)										
Type of Prog	ram	Traditional (L	Traditional (Undergraduate)								
CANDIDATE SELECTION PROFILE											
Academic Strength		Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Skills Assessment (2017-18)								100%	
		Median GPA	3.2								
		Median GPA of Candidates Completing the Program (2017-18)							3.4		
Candidates/		Candidates (2017-18)	Enrolled			Complete	rs		Total		
-	Completer					62			285		
Diversity		Enrolled	Males Females								
		Gender	46					177			
		Enrolled	Hispanic 5	Indian 3	Asian 0		Black 19	Islander 0	White 191	Multi-Racial 5	
		Race	3	3	0		19	-	191	3	
	T	KNO	NLEDGE A	AND SKILLS FOR	TEACHIN	G OF CC	OMPLETE	RS		T	
Knowledge Content Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Content Assessments (2017-18)								100%			
Pedagogical		Completer Pa	100%								
	Overall	Completer Pa	100%								
Clinical Experiences		New Option:	Yes								
		Phase Out Option: Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences Prior to Student Teaching Student Teaching Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences During Student Teaching								273	
		Student Teac	420 100%								
Licensure Re	quirements	Percentage of 2017-18 Completers That Meet State Licensing Requirements									
	P	ROGRAM PRO	DUCTIVIT	Y AND ALIGNM	ENT TO S	TATE NE	EEDS OF	COMPLETER	RS		
Entry and Persistence in		Percentage &	42% (n=26)								
Teaching in Public			& 2018-19								
Schools in Louisiana		Number o 2013-14		Number & Percentage	Numb Percen		Numb Percer		Number & Percentage	Number & Percentage	
(Places everying the 2020		Completers		Teaching in	Teachi	_	Teachi	_	reicentage Feaching in	Teaching in	
(Please examine the 2020 Louisiana Teacher Preparation				2014-15	2015	-16	2016	-17	2017-18	2018-19	
Data Fact Book to accurately		100%		73%	799	%	789		76%	74%	
interpret the meaning of these		(n=102))	(n=74)	(n=8	31)	(n=7	79)	(n=77)	(n=75)	
scores.)		I			1					1	

Assistance in the design of the Louisiana Teacher Preparation Dashboard was provided by the 2020 Key Effectiveness Indicators developed by Michael Allen, Edward Crowe, and Charles Coble, co-partners of Teacher Preparation Analytics.

2020 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD (CONT'D)

McNeese State University

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & University of Louisiana System

Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Program

PERFORMANO	CE AS CLASSROOM TEACHERS (NEW TE	ACHERS WITH	LESS THAN TWO Y	EARS OF TEACHING	G)			
Impact on	Mean Compass Student Outcome	Compass Student Outcome Mean & Number of Scores						
K-12 Students (Please examine the 2020 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Score (2016-17, 2017-18, & 2018- 19) and Number of Scores for All New Teachers with Less than Two Years of Teaching	3.4 (n=317)						
interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2016-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Student Outcome Scores						
scores.)	17, 2017-18, & 2018-19 Compass Student Outcome Scores for the	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher Effectiveness Levels	3% (n=10)	12% (n=37)	21% (n=67)	64% (n=203)			
Demonstrated Teaching	Mean Compass Professional	Compass Professional Practice Mean & Number of Scores						
Skill (Please examine the 2020 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Practice Score (2016-17, 2017-18 & 2018-19) and Number of Scores for All New Teachers with Less than Two Years of Teaching	3.3 (n=317)						
interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2016-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Professional Practice Scores						
scores.)	17, 2017-18, & 2018-19 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
	Professional Practice Scores for the New Teachers by LDOE Teacher Effectiveness Levels	0% (n=≤10)	6% (n=18)	49% (n=156)	45% (n=143)			
Overall Impact and	Mean Compass Final Evaluation	Compass Final Evaluation Mean & Number of Scores						
Demonstrated Teaching Skill (Please examine the 2020	Score (2016-17, 2017-18, & 2018- 19) and Number of Scores for New Teachers with Less than Two Years of Teaching	3.4 (n=317)						
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Percentage and Number of 2016-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Final Evaluation Scores						
interpret the meaning of these	17, 2017-18, & 2018-19 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
scores.)	Final Evaluation Scores for the New Teachers by LDOE Teacher	0% (n=≤10)	8% (n=24)	42% (n=133)	50% (n=159)			
	Effectiveness Levels							
State Value-Added Scores for New Teachers in Grades 4-8 with Less	Content Areas	Mean, Number of Scores, & Effectiveness Levels for Value-Added Scores of Ten or More New Teachers with Less Than Two Years of Teaching who Taught During 2016-17, 2017-18, and 2018-19						
than Two Years of	Mathematics	-0.8 (n=16)						
Teaching by Content		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
Areas (Ten or More New		25%	44%	13%	19%			
Teachers)	Catanan	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)			
·	Science (NO DATA – STATE	N/A (n=N/A) Ineffective						
(Please examine the 2020	TRANSITIONING TO NEW TEST)	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%			
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately		(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)			
interpret the meaning of these scores.)	Social Studies	2.3 (n=16)						
		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
		6%	38%	25%	31%			
	English/Language Arts/Reading	(n=≤10) (n=≤10) (n=≤10) (n=≤10) -0.4 (n=18)						
	3 1 7 1 3 1 3 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
		11%	50%	33%	56%			
		(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)			
	<u>I</u>	(10)	(====)	(====)	\·· ==0/			