## **2020 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD**

## **Louisiana State University at Shreveport**

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & Louisiana State University System

Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Program

				addic redefic		P 0 0.0.0.	og. u.	<u> </u>			
			B	ASIC PROGRAM	INFO	RMATION					
Program We	bsite	https://www.lsus.edu/academics/undergraduate-programs									
Approval/Accreditation		Names of Agencies									
		State: Board	Approved								
		State: Board	Approved								
		Regional: So	Accredited								
		(SACSCOC)  National: National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE); Teacher									
			Accredited								
		Education Ac									
		Preparation (CAEP)									
Type of Prog	ram	Traditional (L	Indergrad	luate)							
CANDIDATE SELECTION PROFILE											
Academic Strength		Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Skills Assessment (2017-18)									
		Median GPA	3.1 3.3								
		Median GPA	Median GPA of Candidates Completing the Program (2017-18)								
Candidates/		Candidates	Enrolled		Completers				Total		
Completer		(2017-18)				29		102			
Diversity		Enrolled	13								
		Gender									
		Enrolled	Hispanic 3	Indian 1		)	Black 7	Islander 0	White 59	Multi-Racial 1	
		Race	J	1		,	,	U	33	1	
				AND SKILLS FOR						T	
Knowledge	Content	Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Content Assessments (2017-18)								100%	
	Pedagogical	Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Professional Knowledge Assessments (2017-18)								100% 100%	
Overall		Completer Passage Rate on all Assessments (2017-18)									
Clinical Experiences		New Option:	Yes								
		Phase Out Op	180								
		Student Teac	450								
Licensure Requirements Percentage of 2017-18 Completers That Meet State Licensing Requirements								100%			
		ROGRAM PRO	DUCTIVIT	Y AND ALIGNM	ENT T	O STATE N	EEDS OF	COMPLETER	S		
Entry and Persistence in		Percentage &	45% (n=13)								
Teaching in Public			& 2018-19								
Schools in Louisiana		Number o 2013-14	-	Number & Percentage		umber & ercentage	Numb Percer		Number & Percentage	Number & Percentage	
(Please examine the 2020		Complete		Teaching in		aching in	Teachi	_	eaching in	Teaching in	
Louisiana Teacher Preparation				2014-15	:	2015-16	2016		2017-18	2018-19	
Data Fact Book to accurately		100%		89%		86%	71		69%	60%	
interpret the meaning of these		(n=35)		(n=31)		(n=30)	(n=2	25)	(n=24)	(n=21)	
scores.)		]									

Assistance in the design of the Louisiana Teacher Preparation Dashboard was provided by the 2020 Key Effectiveness Indicators developed by Michael Allen, Edward Crowe, and Charles Coble, co-partners of Teacher Preparation Analytics.

## 2020 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD (CONT'D)

## **Louisiana State University at Shreveport**

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & Louisiana State University System

Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Program

PERFORMAN	CE AS CLASSROOM TEACHERS (NEW TE	ACHERS WITH	LESS THAN TWO Y	EARS OF TEACHING	G)			
Impact on	Mean Compass Student Outcome	Compass Student Outcome Mean & Number of Scores						
K-12 Students (Please examine the 2020	Score (2016-17, 2017-18, & 2018- 19) and Number of Scores for All New Teachers with Less than Two	3.2 (n=158)						
Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Years of Teaching							
Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2016-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Student Outcome Scores						
scores.)	17, 2017-18, & 2018-19 Compass Student Outcome Scores for the	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher Effectiveness Levels	3% (n=≤10)	12% (n=19)	32% (n=50)	54% (n=85)			
Demonstrated Teaching	Mean Compass Professional	Compass Professional Practice Mean & Number of Scores						
Skill  (Please examine the 2020 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Practice Score (2016-17, 2017-18 & 2018-19) and Number of Scores for All New Teachers with Less than Two Years of Teaching	3.2 (n=158)						
interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2016-	Compass T	eacher Effectiveness Le	vels for Professional Pr	actice Scores			
scores.)	17, 2017-18, & 2018-19 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
	Professional Practice Scores for the New Teachers by LDOE Teacher Effectiveness Levels	0% (n=≤10)	7% (n=11)	58% (n=91)	35% (n=56)			
Overall Impact and	Mean Compass Final Evaluation	Compass Final Evaluation Mean & Number of Scores						
Demonstrated Teaching Skill  (Please examine the 2020	Score (2016-17, 2017-18, & 2018-19) and Number of Scores for New Teachers with Less than Two Years of Teaching	3.3 (n=158)						
Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Percentage and Number of 2016-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Final Evaluation Scores						
Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	17, 2017-18, & 2018-19 Compass	Ineffective Effective Emerging Effective Proficient Highly Effective						
scores.)	Final Evaluation Scores for the New Teachers by LDOE Teacher Effectiveness Levels	0% (n=≤10)	10% (n=15)	53% (n=84)	37% (n=59)			
State Value-Added Scores for New Teachers	Content Areas	Mean, Number of Scores, & Effectiveness Levels for Value-Added Scores of Ten or More New Teachers with Less Than Two Years of Teaching who Taught During 2016-17, 2017-18, and 2018-19						
in Grades 4-8 with Less	Mathematics	-2.9 (n=11)						
than Two Years of		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
Teaching by Content Areas (Ten or More New		27%	55%	9%	9%			
Teachers)	Science	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10) n=N/A)	(n=≤10)			
	(NO DATA – STATE	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
(Please examine the 2020 Louisiana Teacher Preparation	TRANSITIONING TO NEW TEST)	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%			
Data Fact Book to accurately	,	(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)			
interpret the meaning of these scores.)	Social Studies	-2.5 (n=19)						
		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
		11% (n=<10)	63% (n=12)	21% (n=≤10)	5% (n=<10)			
	English/Language Arts/Reading	(n=≤10) (n=12) (n=≤10) (n=≤10) -3.5 (n=19)						
		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
	•	1	Ī	L	1			
		21%	58%	21%	0%			