2018 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD

Louisiana Tech University

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & University of Louisiana System

Public Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Program

				BASIC PROGRAM	INFORMATI	ON					
Program We	b Site	http://educat	ion.late	ech.edu/							
Approval/Accreditation			Status								
		State: Board	Approved								
		State: Board	Approved								
		Regional: Sou (SACSCOC)	Accredited								
		National: Na	Accredited								
		Education Ac									
		Preparation (
Type of Prog	ram	Traditional (U	ndergr	aduate)							
				CANDIDATE SELE	CTION PROF	ILE					
Academic Strength		Completer Pa	100%								
		Median GPA	3.30								
		Median GPA	3.30								
		Number of Ca	Data Not Yet								
		(by 2015-16)	Available								
Teaching Promise		Data not yet a	Data not yet available.								
Candidates/		Candidates	Enrolled			(Complete	rs		Total	
Completer		(2015-16)	74			82				156	
Diversity		Enrolled	Males						Females		
		Gender	12			62					
		Enrolled	Hispar	1	Asian	В	Black	Islande		Multi-Racial	
		Race	0	0	0		3	0	71	0	
		KNO	VLEDGI	E AND SKILLS FOR	TEACHING (OF CO	MPLETE	RS			
Knowledge	Content	Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Content Assessments (2015-16)									
Pedagogical Overall		Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Professional Knowledge Assessments (2015-16)									
		Completer Pa	100%								
Clinical Experiences		Student	Student Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences Prior to Student Teaching						330		
		Teaching		lock Hours of Clinical Experiences ouring Student Teaching			Number of Weeks		Number of Clock Hours per Week	Total Number of Clock Hours	
							10		40	450	
Licensure Requirements		Number and Requirement	100%								
Completer R	ating	Data Not Yet		le							
				ITY AND ALIGNM	ENT TO STA	TE NE	EDS OF	COMPLE	TERS		
Entry and Persistence in		Percentage &	62% (n=51)								
Teaching in Public		Percentage &	Data Not Yet								
Schools in Louisiana		i crocintage a	Available								
		2011-12	& 2016-17								
(Please examine the 2018		Number of		Number &	Number 8	ķ.	Numb	er &	Number &	Number &	
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately		2011-12		Percentage	Percentag		Perce	_	Percentage	Percentage	
interpret the meaning of these		Completers		Teaching in 2012-13	Teaching i 2013-14	П	Teach 2014	_	Teaching in 2015-16	Teaching in 2016-17	
scores.)		100%		60%	54%		51		50%	53%	
		(n=80)		(n=48)	(n=43)		(n=		(n=40)	(n=42)	

2018 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD (CONT'D)

Louisiana Tech University

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & University of Louisiana System

Public Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Program

PERFORMANO	CE AS CLASSROOM TEACHERS (NEW TE	ACHERS WITH	LESS THAN TWO Y	EARS OF TEACHING	G)					
Impact on	Mean Compass Student Outcome	Compass Student Outcome Mean & Number of Scores								
K-12 Students	•			3.4						
	17) and Number of Scores for All	(n=256)								
(Please examine the 2018 New Teachers with Less than Two		,,								
Louisiana Teacher Preparation										
Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2014-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Student Outcome Scores								
scores.)	15, 2015-16, & 2016-17 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective					
,	Student Outcome Scores for the									
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher	1%	5%	28%	66%					
	Effectiveness Levels	(n=≤10)	(n=12)	(n=72)	(n=170)					
Demonstrated Teaching	Mean Compass Professional	Compass Professional Practice Mean & Number of Scores								
Skill	Practice Score (2014-15, 2015-16,	3.2								
	& 2016-17) and Number of Scores	(n=256)								
(Please examine the 2018	for All New Teachers with Less than	(11 230)								
Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Two Years of Teaching									
Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2014-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Professional Practice Scores								
scores.)	15, 2015-16, & 2016-17 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective					
	Professional Practice Scores for the	0%	6%	59%	35%					
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher	(n=≤10)	(n=16)	(n=150)	(n=89)					
	Effectiveness Levels									
Overall Impact and	Overall Impact and Mean Compass Final Evaluation		Compass Final Evaluation Mean & Number of Scores							
Demonstrated Teaching	Score (2014-15, 2015-16, & 2016-	3.4								
Skill	17) and Number of Scores for New		(n=256)							
	Teachers with Less than Two Years	, , 								
(Please examine the 2018	of Teaching									
Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Percentage and Number of 2014-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Final Evaluation Scores								
Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	15, 2015-16, & 2016-17 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective					
scores.)	Final Evaluation Scores for the New	0%	4%	51%	45%					
	Teachers by LDOE Teacher	(n=≤10)	(n=11)	(n=130)	(n=114)					
	Effectiveness Levels									
State Value Added Scores	Content Areas	Mean, Number of Scores, & Effectiveness Levels for Value-Added Scores of Ten or More New Teachers with Less Than Two Years of Teaching who Taught During 2014-15, 2015-16, and 2016-17 -2.9 (n=16)								
for New Teachers in										
Grades 4-8 with Less than	Mathematics									
Two Years of Teaching by	Widthematics									
Content Areas (Ten or		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective					
More New Teachers)		31%	25%	38%	6%					
		(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)					
(Please examine the 2018 Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Science	-2.7								
Data Fact Book to accurately		(n=16)								
interpret the meaning of these		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective					
scores.)		25%	50%	6%	19%					
		(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)					
	Social Studies	N/A								
	(Note: Data are not available –	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective					
	new assessments being	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					
	developed.)									
	English/Language Arts/Reading	0.5 (n=31)								
			Effective Engageine	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective					
		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Enective Frontiern	inginy Encoure					
		Ineffective 7%	48%	23%	23%					