		2016 LOUIS Prepared by <i>Private Ur</i>	Louisia	Xavier U	niver Rege	sity ents & P	Private	Universiti	es				
			BA	SIC PROGRAM	1 INFO	RMATIO	N						
Program Wel	b Site	http://www.>	kula.edu/	education/									
Approval/Acc	creditation	Names of Agencies									Status		
		State: Board of Elementary and Secondary Education (BESE)									Approved		
		State: Board of Regents (BoR)									N/A		
		Regional: Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges (SACSCOC)									Accredited		
		National: National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE); Teacher Education Accreditation Council (TEAC); or Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP)								Accr	edited		
Type of Prog	ram	Alternate (Ma	aster of A	rts in Teaching	g)								
			CA	NDIDATE SELI	ECTIO		E						
Academic Strength		Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Skills Assessment (2013-14)								10	00%		
		Median GPA of Candidates Entering the Program (2013-14)								2.80			
		Median GPA of Candidates Completing the Program (2013-14)								3.73			
		Number of Candidates who Started but Did not Complete the Program Within 6 Years								Data Not Yet			
	•										Ava	ilable	
Teaching Pro	mise	Data not yet	The second se			C	<u> </u>						
Candidates/		Candidates		Enrolled 32			Completers 24		Total 56				
Completer Diversity		(2013-14)	Males					Females					
Diversity		Enrolled Males Females Gender 9 23											
		Enrolled					Black				Multi-Racial		
		Race	1	0		1	21	0	_	9		0	
		KNOW		ND SKILLS FOF	R ΤΕΔΟ		COMPI	FTFRS					
Knowledge	Content										1(00%	
	Pedagogical		assage Rate on Praxis Content Assessments (2013-14) assage Rate on Praxis Professional Knowledge Assessments (2013-14)					3-14)		00%			
	Overall	Completer Passage Rate on all Assessments (2013-14)									00%		
Clinical Exper	riences	Full Time Internships are Offered as an Option for the Academic Year									γ	/es	
		Student	Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences Prior to Student Teaching							1	180		
		Teaching	Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences					Number of		umber of		lumber of	
			During Student Teaching				Weeks Cl		k Hours per Week	Clock	k Hours		
			-					15		35	5	525	
Licensure Requirements		Number and Percentage of 2013-14 Completers That Meet State Licensing Requirements									00%		
Completer Ra	ating	Data Not Yet											
	-						NEEDC		TFDC				
Entry and Pe											88%	(n=21)	
Teaching in Public Schools		Percentage & Number of 2013-14 Completers That Were Teaching in 2014-15 Percentage & Number of 2013-14 Completers That Obtained a License to Teach										Not Yet	
in Louisiana					-						Ava	ilable	
(Please examine the 2016 Louisiana Teacher Preparation		2009-10 Number of		Ileters Teaching in Public Schools in Louisiana in 2010-11, 2 Number & Number & Number 8						-13, 2013-14, umber &		15 nber &	
		2009-10 Completers		Percentage		Percentage		ercentage	Percentage			entage	
	Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these		s	Teaching in		eaching in		eaching in		aching in		hing in	
scores.)		100% (n=2	7)	2010-11 67% (n=18)	-	2011-12 % (n=19)		2012-13 % (n=16)		8 013-14 % (n=17)		14-15 (n=14)	
Placement/P High-Need Subjects/Sch		Data Not Yet		. ,		. ,		. ,		. ,		. ,	

Assistance in the design of the Louisiana Teacher Preparation Dashboard was provided by the 2020 Key Effectiveness Indicators developed by Michael Allen, Edward Crowe, and Charles Coble, co-partners of Teacher Preparation Analytics.

2016	5 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPAR		A DASHBOARD ((CONT'D)					
	Xavier L	Jniversity							
	Prepared by Louisiana Board o	f Regents &	Private Univers	sities					
М	aster of Arts in Teaching Altern	ate Teache	r Preparation Pr	ogram					
PERFORMANCE	AS CLASSROOM TEACHERS (NEW TE	ACHERS WITH	I LESS THAN TWO Y	EARS OF TEACHING	G)				
Impact on	Mean Compass Student Growth	Compass Student Growth Mean & Number of Scores							
K-12 Students	Score (2012-13, 2013-14, & 2014-	2.9 (n=61)							
	15) and Number of Scores for All								
(Please examine the 2016 Louisiana Teacher Preparation	New Teachers with Less than Two								
Data Fact Book to accurately	Years of Teaching Percentage and Number of 2012-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Student Growth Scores							
interpret the meaning of these scores.)	13, 2013-14, & 2014-15 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
500105.7	Student Growth Scores for the								
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher	3%	20%	48%	30%				
	Effectiveness Levels								
Demonstrated Teaching	Mean Compass Professional	Compass Professional Practice Mean & Number of Scores							
Skill	Practice Score (2012-13, 2013-14,	2.8 (n=61)							
(Please examine the 2016	& 2014-15) and Number of Scores								
Louisiana Teacher Preparation	for All New Teachers with Less than Two Years of Teaching								
Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2012-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Professional Practice Scores							
scores.)	13, 2013-14, & 2014-15 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
	Professional Practice Scores for	0%	20%	72%	8%				
	the New Teachers by LDOE								
	Teacher Effectiveness Levels								
Overall Impact and	Mean Compass Final Evaluation	Compass Final Evaluation Mean & Number of Scores 2.9 (n=61)							
Demonstrated Teaching Skill	<i>Score</i> (2012-13, 2013-14, & 2014- 15) and Number of Scores for								
JKIII	New Teachers with Less than Two								
(Please examine the 2016	Years of Teaching								
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Percentage and Number of 2012-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Final Evaluation Scores							
interpret the meaning of these	13, 2013-14, & 2014-15 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
scores.)	Final Evaluation Scores for the	3%	20%	64%	13%				
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher								
	Effectiveness Levels	Moon Numb	ar of Scores & Effective	anacc Lougle for Value /	Adad Scarac of				
State Value Added Scores for Growth in Student	Content Areas	Mean, Number of Scores, & Effectiveness Levels for Value-Added Scores of Twenty-five or More New Teachers with Less Than Two Years of Teaching who							
Learning for New Teachers		Taught During 2014-15 (3- to 5-Year Averages)							
in Grades 4-8 with Less	Mathematics (Note: A Mean score could not be	N/A (n=N/A)							
than Two Years of	determined this year to calculate 3- to 5-								
Teaching by Content Areas	year averages due to differences in cut-off scores for new assessments. Percentages	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
(Twenty-five or More New	of individual scores within effectiveness	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%				
Teachers)	levels could be determined.)								
(Please examine the 2016	Science	N/A (n=N/A)							
Louisiana Teacher Preparation									
Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
scores.)		N/A%	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%				
	Social Studies		N/A (n=N/A)						
		la c ff	Tffeeting To 1		Ulable fffered				
		Ineffective N/A%	Effective Emerging N/A%	Effective Proficient N/A%	Highly Effective N/A%				
	English/Language Arts/Reading	N/A/0 N/A/0 N/A/0 N/A/0							
	(Note: A Mean score could not be	N/A (n=N/A)							
	determined this year to calculate 3- to 5-								
	year averages due to differences in cut-off scores for new assessments. Percentages	Ineffective N/A%	Effective Emerging N/A%	Effective Proficient N/A%	Highly Effective				
	of individual scores within effectiveness	11/7/0	11/7/0	11/7/0	11/7/0				
	levels could be determined.)								