	Pre	pared by Lo	South uisiana	TEACHER PRE ern University Board of Reg graduate Tea	y and ents	A&M & Sou	College thern L	e Jniv	ersity Sy			
				ASIC PROGRAM		-						
Program We	b Site	http://www.		/index.cfm/page								
Approval/Accreditation Names of Agencies												Status
		State: Board of Elementary and Secondary Education (BESE)										Approved
		State: Board of Regents (BoR)									Approved	
		Regional: Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges (SACSCOC)									Accredited	
		National: National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE); Teacher Education Accreditation Council (TEAC); or Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP)									Accredited	
Type of Prog	ram	Traditional (L	Indergra	duate)								
				CANDIDATE SEL		-						
Academic Strength		Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Skills Assessment (2013-14)									100%	
		Median GPA of Candidates Entering the Program (2013-14)									2.60	
		Median GPA of Candidates Completing the Program (2013-14)										2.80
		Number of Candidates who Started but Did not Complete the Program Within 6 Years									ars	Data Not Yet Available
Teaching Pro	omise	Data not yet	availahlo									Available
Candidates/	, mise	Candidates	Enrolled				Completer		rs		Total	
Completer		(2013-14)		39			14					53
Diversity		Enrolled	Males						Females			
		Gender	ender 13					26				
		Enrolled	Hispanio			ian			Islander		Vhite	Multi-Racial
		Race	0	0		0	39		0		0	0
		KNO	WLEDGE	AND SKILLS FOR	R TEAC	HING O	F COMP	LETE	RS			
Knowledge	Content	KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS FOR TEACHING OF COMPLETERS           Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Content Assessments (2013-14)										
	Pedagogical	Completer Pa	r Passage Rate on Praxis Professional Knowledge Assessments (2013-14)									100%
	Overall	Completer Pa	assage Ra	ate on all Assessi	ments	(2013-1	L4)					100%
Clinical Experiences		Student		Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences Prior to						_		180
		Teaching		Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences During Student Teaching						Number Clock Hou Weel	rs per	Total Number of Clock Hours
								1	14	35		490
Licensure Requirements		Number and Percentage of 2013-14 Completers That Meet State Licensing Requirements									100%	
Completer R	ating	Data Not Yet		5								-
		ROGRAM PRO	DUCTIVI	TY AND ALIGNM	IENT 1	O STAT	E NEEDS	S OF	COMPLET	ERS		
Entry and Pe		Percentage & Number of 2013-14 Completers That Were Teaching in 2014-15										93% (n=13)
Teaching in Public Schools in Louisiana		Percentage & Number of 2013-14 Completers That Obtained a License to Teach									Data Not Yet Available	
		2009-10 Completers Teaching in Public Schools in Louisiana in 2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13, 2013-14,										
(Please examine the 2016 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these scores.)		Number of 2009-10									· &	Number &
		Completers		Teaching in		ercentage eaching in		Percer Feachi	-	Percenta Teaching	-	Percentage Teaching in
				2010-11		2011-12		2012	2-13	2013-1	4	2014-15
		100% (n=43) 74% (n=32) 65% (n=28) 67% (n=29) 63% (n=27)								61% (n=26)		
High-Need	Persistence in	Data Not Yet	available	2								
Subjects/Sch	10015											

Assistance in the design of the Louisiana Teacher Preparation Dashboard was provided by the 2020 Key Effectiveness Indicators developed by Michael Allen, Edward Crowe, and Charles Coble, co-partners of Teacher Preparation Analytics.

201	6 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPAR			CONT'D)					
Due	Southern Universit			Custom					
Pre	epared by Louisiana Board of Re			y System					
	Public Undergraduate Tea								
		ACHERS WITH LESS THAN TWO YEARS OF TEACHING)							
Impact on	Mean Compass Student Growth	Compass Student Growth Mean & Number of Scores							
K-12 Students	Score (2012-13, 2013-14, & 2014- 15) and Number of Scores for All	2.2 (							
(Please examine the 2016	New Teachers with Less than Two	3.2 (n=109)							
, Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Years of Teaching								
Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2012-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Student Growth Scores							
scores.)	13, 2013-14, & 2014-15 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
	Student Growth Scores for the New	2%	17%	33%	48%				
	Teachers by LDOE Teacher	270	1770	5570	4070				
	Effectiveness Levels	<b>0</b>							
Demonstrated Teaching Skill	Mean Compass Professional	Compass Professional Practice Mean & Number of Scores							
SKIII	<i>Practice Score</i> (2012-13, 2013-14, & 2014-15) and Number of Scores	2.0 (+ 100)							
(Please examine the 2016	for All New Teachers with Less than		n=109)						
Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Two Years of Teaching								
Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2012-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Professional Practice Scores							
scores.)	13, 2013-14, & 2014-15 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
	Professional Practice Scores for the	2%	20%	60%	18%				
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher								
Overall Impact and	Effectiveness Levels	Compass Final Evaluation Mean & Number of Scores							
Overall Impact and Demonstrated Teaching	Mean Compass Final Evaluation Score (2012-13, 2013-14, & 2014-								
Skill	15) and Number of Scores for New		3.0 (	n=109)					
	Teachers with Less than Two Years	,							
(Please examine the 2016	of Teaching								
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Percentage and Number of 2012-	Compas Ineffective	s Teacher Effectiveness						
interpret the meaning of these	13, 2013-14, & 2014-15 <i>Compass</i>	4%	Effective Emerging 14%	Effective Proficient 59%	Highly Effective 24%				
scores.)	<i>Final Evaluation Scores</i> for the New Teachers by LDOE Teacher	170	11/0	5570	2170				
	Effectiveness Levels								
State Value Added Scores	Content Areas	Mean, Number of Scores, & Effectiveness Levels for Value-Added Scores of Twenty-five or More New Teachers with Less Than Two Years of Teaching who Taught During 2014-15 (3- to 5-Year Averages) N/A (n=29)							
for Growth in Student									
Learning for New	Mathematics								
Teachers in Grades 4-8	(Note: A Mean score could not be								
with Less than Two Years	determined this year to calculate 3- to 5- year averages due to differences in cut-off			. ,					
of Teaching by Content Areas (Twenty-five or	scores for new assessments. Percentages of	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
More New Teachers)	individual scores within effectiveness levels	7%	38%	24%	31%				
	could be determined.) Science		I						
(Please examine the 2016		-1.6 (n=26)							
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately		()							
interpret the meaning of these		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
scores.)		12%	46%	23%	19%				
	Social Studies	0.3 (n=31)							
		U.S (II-SI)							
		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
		10%	39%	29%	23%				
	English/Language Arts/Reading								
	(Note: A Mean score could not be determined this year to calculate 3- to 5-	N/A (n=25)							
	year averages due to differences in cut-off	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
	scores for new assessments. Percentages of individual scores within effectiveness levels				36%				
	manyiadan scores within ejjettiveness ievels	0%	48%	16%	50%				